An Automated and Improved Brain Tumor Detection in Magnetic Resonance Images

Dr.G.Nallasivan[a], Dr.M.Vargheese[b], Jaishree Banukumar[c], Dr.A.Ahila[d]

[a,b]Professor, [c]PG scholar, [d]Associate Professor
[a,b]Department of Computer science and Engineering,
[c]Department of Computer Application, PSN college of Engineering and Technology, Tirunelveli
[d]Department of Electronics and Communications Engineering, Sethu Institute of Technology, Kariapatti

Abstract: The segmentation, identification, as well as extraction of tumor areas from magnetic resonance (MR) images is a major issue. It is a crucialas well as time-consuming process that radiologists and physicians must perform, and the precision is solely dependent on their expertise. As a result, the uses of image processing approaches become increasingly important in order to resolve these constraints. In this approach, an efficient detection of brain tumor is proposed for improving performance and minimizing complexity involved in the processing of medical images. The adaptive mean filter is utilized for pre-processing and the brain tumor image segmentation depends on Fuzzy C means algorithm. Subsequently, related features are extracted from every segmented tissue by GLCM method and the optimal features are selected by fuzzy concepts. For improving the accuracy as well as quality rate, Artificial Neural Networks (ANN)are utilized for classification. The input MR brain images are obtained from the database of Harvard medical school and efficient detection of brain tumor is performed.

Keywords: Artificial Neural Network, GLCM, Fuzzy C means clustering.

1. Introduction

Brain tumors are divided into two categories: cancerous tumors as well as benign tumors. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) generates images of the brain with more details and is a popular method for diagnosing brain tumors [1]. Brain tumor detection is useful for improved identification, growth rate prediction, treatment preparation, and tracking tumor growth or shrinkage in affected humans during treatment. It is regarded as a key procedure that must be completed quickly and precisely [2]. There are two types of brain tumor detection strategies currently available: interactive approaches and automated approaches. Interactive approaches do not involve a large amount of training data. It necessitates user feedback, such as defining a point of interest or having labels denoting the labels of specific pixels to fall into a particular class. While interactive approaches produce better detection results, qualified individuals must concentrate more on detection until satisfactory results are obtained [3]. As a result, image processing-based automated brain tumor detection techniques were developed to help in the accurate diagnosis of tumors.


Figure 1. Images of brain with abnormal tissue

In image processing techniques, images have to undergo four phases namely: preprocessing, segmentation, feature extraction and classification. The elimination of speckle noise, which prevents the loss of edge information as well as essential attributes, is the first step in preprocessing. Various experiments on the reduction of speckle noise have been performed. Linear filters are widely recognized as one of the most powerful image preprocessing techniques. A linear filter's transfer function modifies a portion of the signal frequency spectrum. But they disregard the noise and image characteristics scattered around the image, which blurs the contrast as well as the edge area of the corresponding image [4]. Another type of predefined filter is the Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT) filter, which is commonly used in real-time applications to obtain input attributes with reduced reconstruction time. Yet, these filters need to be improved in terms of efficiency and quality [5]. Subsequently, for preprocessing, mean filter is used, which decreases the amount of intensity difference between one pixel and the other.

The image's pixel value is replaced by the average value of neighbors, and pixels that are not similar to the neighbors are omitted. The main disadvantage of mean filters is that they can emit pseudo noise edges due to edge breakup [6].Due to the above-mentioned disadvantages; an adaptive median filter is used in this approach for efficient image preprocessing by minimizing non-specific noise while preserving edges. The preprocessed image is then segmented which is termed a process that separates a digital image into several segments. For image segmentation, a variety of methods were used, with region-based segmentation being one of the most common. Closed contour regions are created using this technique, but it may reject resolution and image properties [7].The U-net algorithm, which explains the problem of minimal quality segmentations from minimal quality image data, is also used for segmentation, but it may result in feature loss [8].OTSU image segmentation algorithm is also utilized which diminishes the occurrence of misclassification generating a perfectly segmented image. It adopts a threshold value for the segmentation process but does not provide detailed information for detected images [9]. To overcome these issues, the Fuzzy C means image segmentation algorithm is used, which produces better results and generates a perfectly segmented image.

Features must be extracted from the image after segmentation to reduce the amount of redundant data in the dataset. Local Binary Pattern (LBP) is generally adopted method for feature extraction that extracts the feature on a pixel level using a local neighborhood. The operator labels the pixels of a local area using binary thresholding and the center pixel value pattern.LBP demands further enhancement to extract more complex features [10].Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) is another feature extraction tool that aims to increase the gap between each class's mean as well as minimize class spread. However, it needed additional capability enhancements that provide limited side details, as well as rigidity against rotation and content loss attacks [11].Principal Component Analysis (PCA) is an approach for the extraction of features that determines orthogonal transformations to increase the complete variance of predicted results. However, since spectral features of images have different properties, feature extraction using a single projection is not possible in PCA [12].As a result, an effective Gray Level Co-occurrence Matrix (GLCM) is proposed for feature extraction and evaluates the occurrence of various gray level combinations in an image.

Following image feature extraction, classification involves categorizing all pixels in a digital image into one of many groups. A commonly used method for image classification is the Random Forest (RF) algorithm, which employs a cascade system in which feature information is given to each layer. It takes into account the possibility of over fitting, increases accuracy, and is adaptable to both classification and regression problems. But the computing power demand and training time consumption are extremely high [13].The Decision Tree algorithm, which uses a single polarimetric attribute in the decision tree nodes, is also used for image classification. However, when applied to nodes with identical scattering properties, the classification capacity is diminished, and the scattering properties are difficult to describe [14].By increasing the interval between positive as well as negative samples of training set, a Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier depending on supervised learning is used for image classification. It enhances classification and prediction capabilities, but it is unsuccessful in high-noise settings [15].Hence, the proposed approach uses an Artificial Neural Network (ANN) to classify the inputs according to the target class. In this paper, efficient diagnosis of brain tumor is performed adopting Adaptive median filter as well as Fuzzy C means algorithm for preprocessing and segmentation of input image. Consequently, the feature extraction from image is done by GLCM and finally, the image is classified by ANN.

2. Proposed System

Regardless of age, brain tumor is one of the primary causes of death. With the advent in imaging and image processing methods, physicians should be able to get more knowledge and make better healthcare decisions. Any imaging modality can be used to identify brain tumors, which can then be analyzed using image processing techniques for precise tumor classification. Numerous preprocessing concepts, feature extraction approaches, as well as classification algorithms have been proposed by many researchers. Preprocessing should be performed with a suitable filter to ensure that the image edges are not missing.

The Adaptive Median filter is used for pre-processing the input images conserving the edges of an image while minimising non-specific noises. The Fuzzy C means algorithm is utilized for segmentation process in which the total of the objective function is defined by the number of distances between cluster centers and patterns. The extraction of features is performed by GLCM approach representing the second-order statistical information of gray levels between neighbouring pixels in an image. The optimal set of features is selected by conventional search approaches adopting fuzzy concepts. Artificial Neural Network classifier is used classify the brain tumor problems by reflecting the simple linear as well as nonlinear connections between inputs and outputs. The block diagram of the proposed approach is shown in figure 2.

Figure 2. Block diagram of the proposed approach

1.1   Image pre-processing

The input MR image is subjected to pre-processing by adaptive median filter for the removal of noise. Since the value of every pixel is determined the neighboring pixels' median, the median filter is minimal sensitive than outliers. The impact of adaptive median filter depends on ranking the pixels in the image area covered by the filter. Further the center pixel value is replaced with the value defined by the ranking output. It replaces the pixel value with the gray level median in its pixel neighborhood. For certain forms of random noise, median filters are common because these filters offer improved noise-minimizing abilities with substantially minimal blurring compared to linear smoothing filters of comparable scale. The center pixel in a window that slides pixel by pixel over the complete image is replaced with the median of gray levels in median filtering. Consider an $(A * B)$ image, $I(p, q)$ with $I(p, q) \in \{1,2, \ldots, A\} * \{1,2, \ldots B\}$, a 2-dimensional (x*x) median filter is given by,

$$I(p,q) = median\{I(p+u, q+v)\} \qquad (1)$$

Where, $u, v \in \left(-\left(\frac{x-1}{2}\right), \ldots, \left(\frac{x-1}{2}\right)\right)$ and $x(i, j)$ denotes the pixel at point (i, j).

This pre-processing technique with median filters generates smoothened images while retaining the edges, which is an essential function. As a consequence, the median filter is usually employed as a denoising filter.

1.2   Image segmentation

Fuzzy C-Means (FCM) clustering is a clustering approach that iteratively searches for a collection of fuzzy clusters and their related cluster centres that potentially represents the data structure. It permits division of existing set of points related to power n indicated by a number of fuzzy sets. The uniqueness of the approach is the utilization of fuzzy membership matrix $Y = \{y_{ik}\}$, where elements denote the degree of membership of kth element of initial group of vectors to i^{th} cluster. FCM partitions data $D = \{d_1, d_2, \ldots \ldots, d_n\}$ into c clusters with center of the clusters $Z = (z_1, z_2, \ldots \ldots z_c)$ reducing the objective function,

$$F(Y, Z) = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (y_{ik})^m \|d_k - z_i\|^2, \qquad (2)$$

$$y_{ik} \in [0,1], \qquad i = \overline{1, c}, \quad k = \overline{1, n}, \quad 1 \leq m < \infty$$

in which m denotes fuzziness index, y_{ik} denotes degree of membership of d_k in i^{th} cluster, z_i is the centre of ith cluster, $\|d_k - z_i\|^2$ denotes distance between the data d_k and the centre of the cluster z_i.

$$y_{ik} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{c} \left(\frac{\|d_k - z_i\|}{\|d_k - z_j\|}\right)^{\frac{2}{m-1}}\right)^{-1}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{c} y_{ik} = 1 \qquad (3)$$

$$z_i = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n}(y_{ik})^m . d_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{n}(y_{ik})^m} \quad (4)$$

The matrix Y is determined utilizing equation (3) and the corresponding clusters are determined by equation (4) and the square error is determined in equation (2). When the error is less than a specific tolerance value or when its performance regarding before iterations is less than specific threshold, the algorithm concludes. When$\rightarrow \infty$, objects group to clusters with same degree. The value m permits improving the impact of objects with greater values of degree of membership and minimize the impact of objects with less value of degree of membership.

1.3 Feature extraction

Gathering improved-level image details like colour, texture, shape, and contrast. In reality, texture analysis is a critical component of human visual perception as well as machine learning. By choosing prominent features, it is efficiently used to increase the precision of the diagnostic system. GLCM is an effective approach for texture feature extraction and it mainly comprises of two steps. In the initial step GLCM is evaluated and secondly, texture features depending GLCM are determined. The features extracted and employed for classification are indicated below,

1.3.1 Energy

It defines the size of the concentration of the pair with particular gray intensity in co-occurrence matrix and is expressed as,

$$Energy = \sum_{i=1}^{L}\sum_{j=1}^{L} q(i,j)^2$$

1.3.2 Contrast

It indicates the size of the scattering of inertia moments or changes in image matrix and is given by,

$$Contrast = \sum_{i}^{L}\sum_{j}^{L} |i-j|^2 q(i,j)$$

1.3.3 Homogeneity

Homogeneity denotes the degree of homogeneity of an image on a gray scale level and is expressed as,

$$Homogeneity = \sum_{i=1}^{L}\sum_{j=1}^{L} \frac{q(i,j)^2}{1+(i-j)^2}$$

1.3.4 Entropy

Entropy evaluates lost information or messages from transition signal as well as determines image information and is expressed as,

$$Entropy = \sum_{i=1}^{L}\sum_{j=1}^{L} q(i,j)(-\ln q(i,j))$$

1.3.5 Correlation

Correlation denotes the linear dependence estimate of gray currency matrix related to image and is given by,

$$Correlation = \sum_{i=1}^{L}\sum_{j=1}^{L} \frac{(i-\mu_i)(j-\mu_i)p(i,j)}{\sigma_i \sigma_j}$$

The above mentioned features are effectively extracted from the image utilizing GLCM approach and further fed to the feature selection process.

1.4 Feature selection

A process which determines the subset of fuzzy sets is necessary when the count of fuzzy sets or the count of features is greater. The feature selection by fuzzy evaluates optimal combination of fuzzy sets μ_{ij}. When every subset of fuzzy sets are determined using criterion function $F(.)$ and all corresponding combinations of fuzzy subsets is indicated utilizing the power set P, then fuzzy feature selection denotesan evaluating fuzzy set subset $V_{optimal}$ satisfying

$$F(V_{optimal}) = E(F(V_i)), \qquad \forall\, V_i \subseteq P, P = 2^V$$

in which E is regarded as the maximum or minimum operator.

In case of conventional search or genetic algorithms one can minimize the criterion function $F(.)$. In addition, the neural networks can also be applied by utilizing the data of the fuzzy projected set S_x as the inputs to a feed-forward neural network. The algorithm for the fuzzy feature selection is given as,

(1)   Perform projection of a data set which is labelled $Y\{y_i | i = 1,2, \dots, n\}$ into a fuzzy set S_x denoted by $V\{\mu_{ij} | i = 1,2, \dots, p \wedge f = 1,2, \dots \dots, |f_i|\}$. This projection can be denoted as non-linear or linear functions.

(2)   Identify a classifier, criterion function $F(.)$ as well as $P = 2^V$.

(3)   Utilize feature selection method.

(4)   Determine $V_{optimal}$ so that $F(V_{optimal}) = E(F(V_i)), \qquad \forall\, V_i \subseteq P.$

1.5   Classification of images

Artificial neural systems (ANNs) are artificial intelligence techniques that simulate the human brain's activity and can represent simple linear or non-linear connections within input as well as target data.ANN obtains data from an external source and feeds it to its internal processing units, which then transmits through methods for communicating transfer functions as well as association parameters within adjacent layers. An artificial neural network (ANN) is a network that consists of several layers, for example, a three-layer network with a large count of neurons performing the process of translating input data into necessary output. In most cases, a Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) feed-forward network is used, which consists of three layers: input, output, and a hidden layer. These layers are linked to a certain number of neurons. The synaptic weights of the neurons in each layer are different. Layers are decided to move input parameters through, and the count of neurons in each layer is linked to input parameters. The input layer data which is the obtained brain features is fed to the hidden layer. The input layer will have the same number of neurons as the count of features obtained from the input image. The resulting neurons will be predetermined and the output should coordinate the objective. The network utilizes supervised learning method, and requires training set as (input, target) vectors.


Figure 3. Proposed ANN architecture

3. Results and Discussion

The MR brain images database of Harvard medical school is utilized as input data set and the corresponding images are given in figure 4.


Figure 4. Images of input dataset

The pre-processing of input images are performed to eliminate the noise utilizing adaptive median filter and the outputs are given by,

Figure 5. Preprocessed outputs

The pre-processed image is subjected to segmentation by FCM clustering and the corresponding outputs are shown below.

(a)   (b)   (c)

Figure 6. (a) Input image (b) Enhanced image (c) Output of FCM clustering

The features extracted by GLCM approach are denoted in the table below. Features like energy, contrast, homogeneity, entropy, correlation are extracted from the brain tumor images.

Table 1. Features extracted by GLCM approach

Images	Energy	Contrast	Homogeneity	Entropy	Correlation
Image 1	0.408	0.265	0.925	0.65	0.985
Image 2	0.382	0.473	0.863	0.94	0.945
Image 3	0.693	0.276	0.932	3.03	0.945
Image 4	0.348	0.356	0.898	0.45	0.977
Image 5	0.266	0.334	0.898	2.09	0.983
Image 6	0.384	0.304	0.903	1.12	0.980

1.6   Performance metrics

The performance metrics of the parameters like accuracy, sensitivity and specificity are evaluated.

3.1.1 Accuracy

It denotes the percentage of appropriately classified instances and is given by,

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP+TN}{TP+TN+FP+FN}$$

3.1.2 Sensitivity

Sensitivity expresses the proportion of positives that are exactly detected and is given by,

$$Sensitivity = \frac{TP}{TP+FN}$$

3.1.3 Specificity

Specificity expresses the proportion of negatives that are exactly detected and is given by,

$$Specificity = \frac{TN}{TN+FP}$$

Table 2. Comparison of performance metrics

| Methods	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity
ANFIS	90.04	96	80
Back propagation	85.57	97	75
SVM	96.51	97.5	86
KNN	87.06	95	78
ANN	97.5	98.5	95

Figure 7. Performance metrics of existing methods and ANN

From the table 2 it is clear that the proposed classification method resulted in efficient outputs when compared to other classifiers like ANFIS, back propagation, SVM and KNN. Thus the proposed approach effectively detects brain tumor in the input MR images.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, an efficient brain tumor detection approach is proposed which identifies the tumor affected region in input images of brain through various processing phases of images. Adaptive mean filter along with Fuzzy C means algorithm is adopted for the preprocessing and segmentation of images respectively. The features are extracted by GLCM and the optimal selection of features is performed by fuzzy concept. Finally, the classification of images is performed through ANN approach. The performance metrics including accuracy, sensitivity and specificity are analyzed. In future, the accuracy of classification can be improved by extracting more efficient features as well as utilizing increased number of training data set.

References

1. Weiguang Wang;Fanlong Bu;Ziyi Lin;Shuangqing Zhai, 2020, "Learning Methods of Convolutional Neural Network Combined With Image Feature Extraction in Brain Tumor Detection", IEEE Access, Vol: 8, pp: 152659 – 152668.
2. Ming Li; Lishan Kuang; Shuhua Xu; Zhanguo Sha, 2019, "Brain Tumor Detection Based on Multimodal Information Fusion and Convolutional Neural Network", IEEE Access, Vol: 7, pp: 180134 – 180146.
3. Himar Fabelo;Samuel Ortega; Adam Szolna;Diederik Bulters, 2019, "In-Vivo Hyper spectral Human Brain Image Database for Brain Cancer Detection", IEEE Access, Vol: 7, pp: 39098 – 39116.
4. Hyunho Choi; Jechang Jeong, 2018, "Despeckling Images Using a Preprocessing Filter and Discrete Wavelet Transform-Based Noise Reduction Techniques", IEEE Sensors Journal, Vol: 18, No: 8, pp: 3131 – 3139.
5. Ahmed Salem;Hatem Ibrahem;Hyun-Soo Kang, 2020, "Dual Disparity-Based Novel View Reconstruction for Light Field Images Using Discrete Cosine Transform Filter", IEEE Access, Vol: 8, pp: 72287 – 72297.
6. Hyunho Choi; Jechang Jeong, 2018, "Despeckling Images Using a Preprocessing Filter and Discrete Wavelet Transform-Based Noise Reduction Techniques", IEEE Sensors Journal, Vol: 18, No: 8, pp: 3131 – 3139.
7. Liyilei Su;Xianjun Fu;Xiaodong Zhang;Xiaoguang Cheng;Yimin Ma;Yungen Gan;Qingmao Hu, 2018, "Delineation of Carpal Bones From Hand X-Ray Images Through Prior Model, and Integration of Region-Based and Boundary-Based Segmentations", IEEE Access, Vol: 6, pp: 19993 – 20008.
8. Ilkay Oksuz;James R. Clough;Bram Ruijsink;Esther Puyol Anton;Aurelien Bustin;Gastao Cruz;Claudia Prieto;Andrew P. King;Julia A. Schnabel, 2020, "Deep Learning-Based Detection and Correction of Cardiac MR Motion Artefacts During Reconstruction for High-Quality Segmentation", IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, Vol: 39, No: 12,pp: 4001 – 4010.
9. Ashish Kumar Bhandari; Arunangshu Ghosh; Immadisetty Vinod Kumar, 2020,"A local contrast fusion based 3D Otsu algorithm for multilevel image segmentation", IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica, Vol: 7, No: 1, pp: 200 – 213.
10. Zhikun Chen;Junjun Jiang;Chong Zhou;Shaoyuan Fu;Zhihua Cai, 2019, "SuperBF: Super pixel-Based Bilateral Filtering Algorithm and Its Application in Feature Extraction of Hyper spectral Images", IEEE Access, Vol: 7, pp: 147796 – 147807.
11. Xiang Zhang;Fei Peng;Min Long, 2018, "Robust Coverless Image Steganography Based on DCT and LDA Topic Classification", IEEE Transactions on Multimedia, Vol: 20, No: 12, pp: 3223 – 3238.
12. Junjun Jiang;Jiayi Ma;Chen Chen;Zhongyuan Wang;Zhihua Cai;Lizhe Wang, 2018, "SuperPCA: A Superpixelwise PCA Approach for Unsupervised Feature Extraction of Hyper spectral Imagery", IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, Vol: 56, No: 8, pp: 4581 – 4593.

13. Bing Liu;Wenyue Guo;Xin Chen;Kuiliang Gao;Xibing Zuo;Ruirui Wang;Anzhu Yu , 2020, "Morphological Attribute Profile Cube and Deep Random Forest for Small Sample Classification of Hyper spectral Image", IEEE Access, Vol: 8, pp: 117096 – 117108.

14. Qiang Yin;Jianda Cheng;Fan Zhang;Yongsheng Zhou;Luyi Shao;Wen Hong, 2020, "Interpretable POLSAR Image Classification Based on Adaptive-Dimension Feature Space Decision Tree", IEEE Access, Vol: 8, pp: 173826 – 173837.

15. Chen Li;Hao Chen;Le Zhang;Ning Xu;Dan Xue;Zhijie Hu;He Ma;Hongzan Sun, 2019, "Cervical Histopathology Image Classification Using Multilayer Hidden Conditional Random Fields and Weakly Supervised Learning", IEEE Access, Vol: 7, pp: 90378 – 90397.