SOME FIXED-POINT RESULTS FOR ASYMPTOTICALLY REGULAR MAPS IN N-FUZZY*b*-METRIC SPACE

Happy Hooda¹,

¹Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak-124001, (Hr) India

Archana Malik²,

²Professor, Department of Mathematics, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak-124001, (Hr) India

Manish Vats³,

³Assistant Professor, All India Jat Heroes' Memorial College, Rohtak-124001, (Hr) India

*Corresponding author: Happy Hooda, Email: happyhooda2017@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we define N-fuzzy *b*-metric space and then weinvestigate fixed point results on the structure of N-fuzzy *b*-metric space using asymptotically regular map and asymptotically regular sequence. These theorems generalize and improve some known fixed-point theorems in literature.

MSC: primary 47H10; secondary 54H25.

KEYWORDS:Fuzzy metric space; fixed point; N-fuzzy *b*-metric space; asymptotically regular map; asymptotically regular sequence.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES:

The foundation of fuzzy mathematics is laid by Lotfi A. Zadeh[24] in 1965. In 1975, Kramosil and Michalek [10] introduced the concept of fuzzy metric space. George and Veeramani [7] modified the concept of fuzzy metric space. In 1963, Gahler [5, 6] generalized usual notion of metric space called 2-metric space. Using the notion of 2-metric space, S. Sharma[21] and S. Kumar [11] introduced fuzzy 2-metric space without knowing each other but Ha *et.al* shows that 2-metric need not to be continuous function, further there is no easy relationship between results obtained in the two settings. In 1992, BapureDhage [4]in his PhD thesis introduced a new class of generalized metric space called D-metric space [13]. B. Singh and M. Chouhan[22] defined S-fuzzy metric space by using concept of D-metric space. However, Mustafa and Sims in [14] have pointed out that most of results claimed by Dhage and others in D-metric spaces are invalid. To overcome these fundamental flaws, they introduced a new concept of generalized metric space called G-metric space [14]. Using the concept of G-metric space, G. Sun and K Yang [23] introduced the notion of the notion of Q-Fuzzy metric space, K.P.R. Rao et. al.[15] proved two fixed point theorems in symmetric Q(G)-metric space Sedghiet. al. in [16] introduced D*-metric space which is a generalized Gmetric space and gave an example which is D*-metric space but not G-metric space. Using the concept of D*-metric space. Sedghi and Shobe [17] defined M-Fuzzy metric space. Very recently, Sedghiet. al. in [18] defined S-metric space which is generalization of D*-metric space and G-metric space and justified their work by various examples and definitions related to topology of S-metric space. N. Malviya [12] introduced the notion of N-fuzzy metric space, pseudo N-fuzzy metric space and describes some of their properties and examples. In addition to fuzzy metric spaces, there are still many extensions of metric and metric space terms. Bakhtin[1] and Czerwik[3] introduced a space where, instead of triangle inequality, a weaker condition was observed, with the aim of generalization of Banach contraction principal [2]. They called these spaces *b*-metric spaces. Relation between *b*-metric and fuzzy metric space is considering in [9]. On the other hand, in [19] the notion of a fuzzy *b*-metric space was introduced, where the triangle inequality is replaced by a weaker one.

Now, in this paper we introduced a new space that is N-fuzzy *b*-metric space with the help of N-fuzzy metric space and *b*-Metric space and using the notion of asymptotic regularity of mappings, we prove some fixed point theorem in N-fuzzy metric space. These theorems generalize and improve some known fixed point theorems in literature.

Definition1.1:-A binary operation $*:[0,1]\times[0,1]\times[0,1]\to[0,1]$ is said to be a continuous tnorm if ([0,1], *) is an abelian topological monoid with unit 1 such that $a*b \le c*d$ for $a \le c, b \le d$. Examples of a t-norm are $a*b = \min\{a,b\}$, a*b = ab and $a*b = \max\{a+b-1,0\}$.

Definition 1.2:- The 3-tuple (X, M, T) is known as fuzzy metric space (shortly, FM-space) if X is an any set, T is a continuous t-norm, and M is a fuzzy set in $X \times X \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions for all $x, y, z \in X$ and s, t > 0;

(FM-1) M(x, y, t) > 0, (FM-2) M(x, y, t) = 1 iff x = y, (FM-3) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t), (FM-4) $T(M(x, y, t), M(y, z, s)) \le M(x, z, t + s)$, (FM-5) $M(x, y, \Box) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous.

Definition 1.3:-A 3-tuple (X, N, *) is called an *N*-fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary (nonempty) set, * is a continuous t-norm, and N is a fuzzy set on $X^3 \times (0, \infty)$, satisfying the following conditions for each $x, y, z \in X$ and r, s, t > 0;

(N1)
$$N(x, y, z, t) > 0$$
,

(N2)
$$N(x, y, z, t) = 1$$
 iff $x = y = z$.

(N3) $N(x, x, a, r) * N(y, y, a, s) * N(z, z, a, t) \le N(x, y, z, r+s+t),$

(N4) $N(x, y, z, \Box):(0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous function.

Definition 1.4:-The 3-tuple (X, M, T) is known as fuzzy *b*-metric space if *X* is any set, *T* is a continuous *t*-norm, and *M* is a fuzzy set in $X \times X \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions for all *x*, *y*, *z* \in *X* and *s*, *t* > 0, and a given real number $b \ge 1$,

(BM-1)
$$M(x, y, t) > 0$$
,

(BM-2) M(x, y, t) = 1 if and only if x = y,

(BM-3) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t),(BM-4) $T(M(x, y, \frac{t}{b}), M(y, z, \frac{s}{b})) \le M(x, z, t+s),$ (BM-5) $M(x, y, \square) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous.

Definition 1.5:-The 3-tuple (X, N, T) is known as N-fuzzy *b*-metric space if X is any set, T is a continuous *t*-norm, and N is a fuzzy set in $X \times X \times X \times (0, \infty)$ satisfying the following conditions for all $x, y, z, a \in X$ and s, t > 0 and a given real number $b \ge 1$, (NF-1) N(x, y, z, t) > 0,

(NF-2) N(x, y, z, t) = 1 if and only if x = y = z. (NF-3) $T(N(x, x, a, \frac{r}{b}), N(y, y, a\frac{s}{b}), N(z, z, a\frac{t}{b})) \le N(x, y, z, r+s+t)$, (NF-4) $N(x, y, z, \square : (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous function.

Definition 1.6:-A mapping $\phi:[0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called an altering distance function if

- (i) ϕ is strictly decreasing and left continuous.
- (ii) $\phi(\lambda) = 0$ if and only if $\lambda = 1$ i.e, $\lim_{\lambda \to 1} \phi(1) = 0$.

Definition 1.7:- Let p and q be two self mappings on a N-fuzzy b-metric space (X, N, *)and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X. p is said to be asymptotically regular at a point $x_n \in X$ if $\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} N(p^n(x_0), p^n(x_0), p^{n+1}(x_0), \frac{t}{b})\right) = 1, \forall t > 0, b \ge 1.$

Also the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is said to be asymptotically regular with respect to the pair (p,q) if $\left(\lim_{n\to\infty} N(p(x_n), p(x_n), q(x_n), \frac{h}{b}\right) = 1, \forall t > 0, b \ge 1.$

Definition 1.8:-Two self mapping p and q be on a N-fuzzy b-metric space (X, N, *) are said to be compatible if

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} N(pq(x_n), pq(x_n), qp(x_n), \frac{t}{b}) = 1, \ \forall t > 0, b \ge 1.$

where $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} p(x_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} q(x_n) = x$, for some $x \in X$.

Definition 1.9:- A function $f:\Box \to \Box$ is called *b*-non-decreasing if x > by implies $f(x) \ge f(y)$ for all $x, y \in \Box$.

2. MAIN RESULT:

Theorem 2.1:-Let (X, N, *) be a complete fuzzy *b*-metric space, ϕ be the altering distance function and $p: X \to X$ be such that the following condition is satisfied:

$$\begin{split} \phi(N(p(x), p(x), p(y), \frac{t}{b}) &\leq \\ b_1(x, y)\theta[\min\{\phi(N(x, x, p(x), \frac{t}{b})), \phi(N(y, y, p(y), \frac{t}{b}))\}] + \\ b_2(x, y)\psi[\min\{\phi(N(x, x, p(x), \frac{t}{b})), \phi(N(y, y, p(y), \frac{t}{b}))\}] + \\ b_3(x, y)\phi(N(x, x, y, \frac{t}{b})) + \\ b_4(x, y)(\phi(N(x, x, p(x), \frac{t}{b})) + \phi(N(y, y, p(y), \frac{t}{b}))) + \\ b_5(x, y)(\phi(N(x, x, p(y), \frac{t}{b})) + \phi(N(p(x), p(x), y, \frac{t}{b}))) \end{split}$$

 $\forall x, y \in X, b \ge 1 \text{ and } t > 0 \text{ where } b_i : X \times X \to [0, \infty), i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \text{ are such that for some arbitrary fixed } \lambda > 0, \lambda_1 > 0 \text{ and } 0 < \lambda_2 < 1$ $b_1(x, y) + b_2(x, y) \le \lambda_1$ $b_3(x, y) + b_4(x, y) + 2b_5(x, y) \le \lambda_2$ And $\theta, \psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ are continuous functions at $\theta(0) = \psi(0) = 0$. If *p* is asymptotically regular at some point $x_0 \in X$, then *p* has a unique fixed point in *X*.

.....(1)

Proof: Suppose that $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in *X* where $x_0 \in X$ and $x_{n+1} = p(x) \forall n \ge 0$, Now if for some $n \ge 0$, $x_n = x_{n+1}$, then x_n is a fixed point of *f*. Suppose that $x_n \ne x_{n+1} \forall n$. We show that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy.

Suppose to the contrary $\exists 0 < \varepsilon < 1, t > 0, b > 1$ and two sequence of integers $\{r_n\}$ and $\{s_n\}$ such that $r_n > s_n > n$,

$$N\left(x_{r_{n}}, x_{r_{n}}, x_{s_{n}}, \frac{t}{b}\right) \leq 1 - \varepsilon$$

$$N\left(x_{r_{n-1}}, x_{r_{n-1}}, x_{s_{n-1}}, \frac{t}{b}\right) > 1 - \varepsilon$$

$$N\left(x_{r_{n-1}}, x_{r_{n-1}}, x_{s_{n}}, \frac{t}{b}\right) > 1 - \varepsilon, \quad \forall \ n \in \Box \cup \{0\}$$

$$(4)$$

Now, we have

$$1 - \varepsilon \ge N\left(x_{r_{n}}, x_{r_{n}}, x_{s_{n}}, \frac{t}{b}\right) \ge N\left(x_{r_{n}}, x_{r_{n}}, x_{r_{n-1}}, \frac{t}{3b}\right) * N\left(x_{r_{n}}, x_{r_{n}}, x_{r_{n-1}}, \frac{t}{3b}\right) * N\left(x_{s_{n}}, x_{s_{n}}, x_{s_{n}}, \frac{t}{3b}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 - \varepsilon \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} N\left(x_{r_{n}}, x_{r_{n}}, x_{s_{n}}, \frac{t}{b}\right) \ge (1 * 1 * 1 - \varepsilon)$$

(Since f is asymptotically regular at x_0)

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} N\left(x_{r_{n}}, x_{r_{n}}, x_{s_{n}}, \frac{t}{b}\right) = 1 - \varepsilon$$

$$Again, N\left(x_{r_{n}}, x_{r_{n}}, x_{s_{n-1}}, \frac{t}{b}\right) \ge N\left(x_{r_{n}}, x_{r_{n}}, x_{s_{n}}, \frac{t}{3b}\right) * N\left(x_{r_{n}}, x_{r_{n}}, x_{s_{n}}, \frac{t}{3b}\right) * N\left(x_{s_{n-1}}, x_{s_{n-1}}, x_{s_{n-1}}, \frac{t}{3b}\right) = N\left(x_{s_{n-1}}, x_{s_{n-1}}, \frac{t}{3b}\right) = 1 - \varepsilon$$

$$Taking x = x_{r_{n-1}} and y = x_{s_{n-1}} in (1), we have$$

$$\phi\left(N\left(x_{r_{n}}, x_{r_{n}}, x_{s_{n}}, \frac{t}{b}\right)\right) \le b_{1}(x, y) \theta\left(\min\left\{\phi\left(N\left(x_{r_{n-1}}, x_{r_{n-1}}, x_{r_{n}}, \frac{t}{b}\right)\right)\phi\left(N\left(x_{s_{n-1}}, x_{s_{n}}, \frac{t}{b}\right)\right)\right\}\right)$$

$$+ b_{2}(x, y) \psi\left(\min\left\{\phi\left(N\left(x_{r_{n-1}}, x_{r_{n-1}}, x_{r_{n}}, \frac{t}{b}\right)\right), \phi\left(N\left(x_{s_{n-1}}, x_{s_{n}}, \frac{t}{b}\right)\right)\right\}\right)$$

$$+ b_{3}(x,y)\phi\left(N\left(x_{r_{n-1}},x_{r_{n-1}},x_{s_{n-1}},\frac{t}{b}\right)\right) + b_{4}(x,y)\left[\phi\left(N\left(x_{r_{n-1}},x_{r_{n-1}},x_{r_{n}},\frac{t}{b}\right)\right) + \phi\left(N\left(x_{s_{n-1}},x_{s_{n}},\frac{t}{b}\right)\right)\right] \\ + b_{5}(x,y)\left[\phi\left(N\left(x_{r_{n-1}},x_{s_{n}},\frac{t}{b}\right)\right) + \phi\left(N\left(x_{r_{n}},x_{r_{n}},x_{s_{n-1}},\frac{t}{b}\right)\right)\right]$$

Taking $n \to \infty$ and by (4), (5), (6) and using the fact that p is asymptotically regular at x_0 we have, $\phi(1-\varepsilon) \le b_3(x,y)\phi(1-\varepsilon) + 2b_5(x,y)\phi(1-\varepsilon) < \phi(1-\varepsilon)$ which is a contradiction. Thus $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since (X, N, *) is a complete N-fuzzy *b*-metric space, $\exists z \in X$ such that $x_n \to z$. Now,

$$\begin{split} & \phi \Big(N\Big(p(x_n), \big(p(x_n), p(z), \frac{t}{b} \big) \Big) \leq b_1(x, y) \theta \Big(\min \left\{ \phi \Big(N\big(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{b} \big) \Big), \phi \Big(N\big(z, z, p(z), \frac{t}{b} \big) \Big) \right\} \Big) \\ & b_2(x, y) \psi \Big(\min \left\{ \phi \Big(N\big(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{b} \big) \Big), \phi \Big(N\big(z, z, p(z), \frac{t}{b} \big) \Big) \right\} \Big) \\ & b_3(x, y) \phi \Big(N\big(x_n, x_n, z_n, \frac{t}{b} \big) \Big) \\ & + b_4(x, y) \Big[\phi \Big(N\big(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}, \frac{t}{b} \big) \Big) + \phi \Big(N\big(z, z, p(z), \frac{t}{b} \big) \Big) \Big] \\ & + b_5(x, y) \Big[\phi \Big(N\big(x_n, x_n, p(z), \frac{t}{b} \big) \Big) \\ & + \phi \Big(N\big(z, z, p(z), \frac{t}{b} \big) \Big) \\ & = \Big[1 - b_4(x, y) - b_5(x, y) \Big] \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi \Big(N\big(z, z, p(z), \frac{t}{b} \big) \Big) \\ & \Rightarrow \Big[1 - b_4(x, y) - b_5(x, y) \Big] \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi \Big(N\big(z, z, p(z), \frac{t}{b} \big) \Big) \\ & \Rightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi \Big(N\big(z, z, p(z), \frac{t}{b} \big) \big) \\ & = 0 \\ (\text{Since } 0 < b_3(x, y) + b_4(x, y) + 2b_5(x, y) < 1) \\ & \Rightarrow p(z) = z . \end{split}$$

If u is another fixed point of f in X, then

$$\begin{split} \phi(N, p(u), p(u), p(z), \frac{t}{b}) &\leq b_1(x, y) \theta\Big(\min\left\{\phi\big(N\big(u, u, p(u), \frac{t}{b}\big)\big), \phi\big(N\big(z, z, p(z), \frac{t}{b}\big)\big)\right\}\Big) \\ &+ b_2(x, y) \psi\Big(\min\left\{\phi\big(N\big(u, u, p(u), \frac{t}{b}\big)\big), \phi\big(N\big(z, z, p(z), \frac{t}{b}\big)\big)\right\}\Big) \\ &+ b_3(x, y) \Big(\phi\big(N\big(u, u, z, \frac{t}{b}\big)\big) \\ &+ b_4(x, y) \Big[\phi\big(N\big(u, u, p(u), \frac{t}{b}\big)\big) + \phi\big(N\big(z, z, p(z), \frac{t}{b}\big)\big)\Big] \\ &+ b_5(x, y) \Big[\Big(\phi\big(N\big(u, u, p(z), \frac{t}{b}\big)\big) + \phi\big(N\big(z, z, p(u), \frac{t}{b}\big)\big)\Big] \\ &\Rightarrow \phi\big(N\big(u, u, z, \frac{t}{b}\big)\big) \leq b_3(x, y) \phi\big(N\big(u, u, z, \frac{t}{b}\big)\big) \\ &\Rightarrow \Big[1 - b_3(x, y) - 2b_5(x, y)\Big] \phi\big(N\big(u, u, z, \frac{t}{b}\big)\big) \leq 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \phi\big(N\big(u, u, z, \frac{t}{b}\big)\big) = 0 \\ (\text{Since } 0 < b_3(x, y) + b_4(x, y) + 2b_5(x, y) < 1 \) \Rightarrow u = z, \end{split}$$

COROLLARY: Let $p,q: X \to X$ be mapping on a complete fuzzy *b*-metric space (X, N, *) and ϕ be the altering distance function. Let *p* and *q* be asymptotically regular at

a point $x_0 \in X$ and b > 1 and both satisfy the inequality (1). Moreover, if $\phi(N(p(x), p(x), q(y), \frac{t}{b})) \le k(\phi(N(x, x, y, \frac{t}{b})) + \phi(N(x, x, p(x), \frac{t}{b})) + \phi(N(y, y, q(y), \frac{t}{b})))$ (7)

where 0 < k < 1 and $x, y \in X$,

Then p and q have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof: From theorem [2.1], both f and g have unique fixed points say, z and v respectively. Since f and g satisfy (7)

Since *f* and *g* satisfy (7),

$$\phi \left(N\left(p(z), p(z), q(v), \frac{t}{b} \right) \right) \leq k \left(\phi \left(N\left(z, z, v, \frac{t}{b} \right) \right) + \phi \left(N\left(z, z, p\left(z \right), \frac{t}{b} \right) \right) + \phi \left(N\left(v, v, q\left(v \right), \frac{t}{b} \right) \right) \right),$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(N\left(z, z, v, \frac{t}{b} \right) \right) \leq k \left(\phi \left(N\left(z, z, v, \frac{t}{b} \right) \right) + \phi \left(N\left(z, z, z, \frac{t}{b} \right) \right) + \phi \left(N\left(v, v, v, \frac{t}{b} \right) \right) \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(1 - k \right) \phi \left(N\left(z, z, v, \frac{t}{b} \right) \right) \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi \left(N\left(z, z, v, \frac{t}{b} \right) \right) \leq 0$$
(Since *k* < 1)

$$\Rightarrow z = v$$
 i.e., *p* and *q* have a unique common fixed point.

Theorem 2.2:-Let $p: X \to X$ be a mapping on a complete fuzzy *b*-metric space (X, N, *) and ϕ is the altering distance function. If *f* is asymptotically regular at a point $x_0 \in X$ and *f* satisfies,

$$\begin{split} \phi(N(f(x), f(x), f(y), t)) &\leq \\ h_1 \min\{\phi(N(x, x, y, \frac{t}{b})), \phi(N(f(x), (f(x), x, \frac{t}{b})), \phi(N(f(x), (f(x), y, \frac{t}{b})))\} + \\ h_2 \min\{\phi(N(x, x, y, \frac{t}{b})), \phi(N(f(y), (f(y), y, \frac{t}{b})), \phi(N(x, x, f(y), \frac{t}{b}))\} \end{split}$$
(8) For all $x, y \in X, b \geq 1$ and t > 0 where $h_1, h_2 < 1$, Then f has a unique fixed point in X.

Proof: As theorem 2.1, we construct a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X by

 $x_{n+1} = f(x_n) \forall n \in \Box \cup \{0\}$, where $x_0 \in X$. If there exists *n* with $x_n = x_{n+1}$, then x_n is a fixed point of *f*. Suppose that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for all *n*.

To show that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Let $m, n \in \Box \cup \{0\}$. From (8)

$$\begin{split} & \phi \Big(N\Big(p\big(x_n\big), p\big(x_n\big), p\big(x_m\big), \frac{t}{b} \Big) \Big) \\ & \leq h_1 \min \Big\{ \phi \Big(N\big(x_n, x_n, x_m, \frac{t}{b} \big) \Big), \phi \Big(N\big(p\big(x_n\big), p\big(x_n\big), x_n, \frac{t}{b} \big) \Big), \phi \Big(N\big(p(x_n), p\big(x_n\big), x_m, \frac{t}{b} \big) \Big) \\ & + h_2 \min \Big\{ \phi \Big(N\big(x_n, x_n, x_m, \frac{t}{b} \big) \Big), \phi \Big(N\big(p\big(x_m\big), p\big(x_m\big), x_m, \frac{t}{b} \big) \Big), \phi \Big(N\big(x_n, x_n, p\big(x_m\big), \frac{t}{b} \big) \Big) \Big\} \\ & \text{Since, } f \text{ is asymptotically regular at } x_0 \in X \text{, taking } n, m \to \infty \\ & \lim_{n, m \to \infty} \phi(N(p(x_n), p(x_n), p(x_n), \frac{t}{b})) = 0 \\ & \Rightarrow \lim_{n, m \to \infty} N(p(x_n), p(x_n), p(x_m), \frac{t}{b}) = 1. \end{split}$$

i.e. $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, N, *)

since (X, N, *) is a complete, therefore $x_n \to z$ (say) in X. using (8) $\phi(N(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, p(z), \frac{t}{b})) =$ $\phi(N(p(x_n), p(x_n), p(z), \frac{t}{b})) \leq$ $h_1 \min\{\phi(N(x_n, x_n, z, \frac{t}{b})), \phi(N(p(x_n), p(x_n), x_n, \frac{t}{b})), \phi(N(p(x_n), p(x_n), z, \frac{t}{b}))$ $+h_2 \min\{\phi(N(x_n, x_n, z, \frac{t}{b})), \phi(N(p(z), p(z), z, \frac{t}{b})), \phi(N(x_n, x_n, p(z), \frac{t}{b}))\}$ $\Rightarrow \phi(N(z, z, p(z), \frac{t}{b})) = 0$ $\Rightarrow p(z) = z$, establishes that z is a fixed point for p. Uniqueness can be shown easily. Hence, z is the unique fixed point of p.

Theorem 2.3:- Let (X, N, *) be fuzzy *b*-metric space, ϕ be the altering distance function and p and q be two commutative self-mappings on X such that $\phi(N(p(x), p(x), p(y), t)) \leq k_1[\phi(N(q(x), q(x), q(y), \frac{t}{b}))] + k_2[\phi(N(q(x), q(x), q(y), \frac{t}{b})) + \phi(N(q(y), q(y), q(y), \frac{t}{b}))]$ (9) where $x, y \in X, t > 0, b \geq 1$ and $k_1 : \Box \rightarrow [0, 1), 0 < k_1, k_2 < 1$. moreover if (i) q are asymptotically regular at x_0 . (ii) $X \subseteq q(X)$, (iii) $X \circ q(X)$ is a complete subspace of X, then p and q have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Let $x_0 \in X$. since $p(X) \subseteq q(X)$, define a sequence $\{u_n\}$ by $u_{n+1} = p(x_n) = q(x_{n+1})$, $n \in \square \cup \{0\}$.

Again since p and q are asymptotically regular at x_0 ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi(N(u_n, u_n, u_{n+1}, \frac{t}{b})) = 0 \tag{10}$$

To show that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is Cauchy.

Suppose, there exist $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $b \ge 1$ and two sequence of integers $\{r_n\}$ and $\{s_n\}$ such that $r_n > s_n > n$,

$$N(u_{r_{n}}, u_{r_{n}}, u_{s_{n}}, \frac{t}{b}) \leq 1 - \varepsilon,$$

$$N(u_{r_{n-1}}, u_{r_{n-1}}, u_{s_{n-1}}, \frac{t}{b}) > 1 - \varepsilon,$$

$$N(u_{r_{n-1}}, u_{r_{n-1}}, u_{s_{n}}, \frac{t}{b}) > 1 - \varepsilon, \forall n \in \Box \cup \{0\}$$
Following the technique applied in theorem 2.1 we can show that
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} N(u_{r_{n}}, u_{r_{n}}, u_{s_{n}}, \frac{t}{b}) > 1 - \varepsilon, \quad t > 0, \quad b \geq 1$$
(12)

$$\begin{split} \phi(N(u_{r_{n+1}}, u_{r_{n+1}}, u_{s_{n+1}}, \frac{t}{b})) &= \phi(N(p(x_{r_n}), p(x_{r_n}), p(x_{s_n}), \frac{t}{b})) \\ &\leq k_1[\phi(N(q(x_{r_n}), q(x_{r_n}), q(x_{s_n}), \frac{t}{b})) \\ &+ k_2(\phi(N(q(x_{r_n}), q(x_{r_n}), p(x_{s_n}), \frac{t}{b})) \\ &+ \phi(N(q(x_{r_n}), q(x_{r_n}), p(x_{s_n}), \frac{t}{b}))] \end{split}$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (10) and (12) we have

 $\phi(1-\varepsilon) \leq k_1 \phi(1-\varepsilon) < \phi(1-\varepsilon)$ is a contradiction. Hence $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that q(X) is complete, then there exist $v \in q(X)$ such that $\lim u_n = v$. Also, for some $z \in X$ we have q(z) = v. Now. $\phi(N(p(z), p(z), u_{n+1}, \frac{t}{h})) = \phi(N(p(z), p(z), p(x_n), \frac{t}{h}))$ $\leq k_1[\phi(N(q(z),q(z),q(x_n),\frac{t}{h})$ $+k_{2}(\phi(N(p(z), p(z), q(z), \frac{t}{h})))$ $+\phi(N(p(x_n), p(x_n), q(x_n), \frac{t}{h})))]$ For $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\phi(N(p(z), p(z), v, \frac{t}{b})) \leq k_1[k_2\phi(N(p(z), p(z), v, \frac{t}{b}))]$ $\Rightarrow (1-k_1k_2)\phi(N(p(z), p(z), v, \frac{t}{b})) = 0$ $\Rightarrow \phi(N(p(z), p(z), v, \frac{t}{h})) = 0$ $\Rightarrow p(z) = v$ Therefore p(z) = v = q(z) i.e. z is the coincident point of p and q. Next, from (9), $\phi(N(p(p(z)), p(p(z)), p(z), \frac{t}{h})) \le k_1[\phi(N(q(p(z)), q(p(z)), p(z), \frac{t}{h}))$ $+k_2(\phi(N(p(p(z)), p(p(z)), q(p(z)), \frac{t}{h})))$ $+\phi(N(p(z), p(z), q(z), \frac{t}{h})))]$ $=k_1[\phi(N(p(q(z)), p(q(z)), q(z), \frac{t}{h}))$ $+k_2(\phi(N(p(p(z)), p(p(z)), p(q(z)), \frac{t}{h})))$ $+\phi(N(p(z), p(z), q(z), \frac{t}{h})))]$ (Since pq = qp) $k_1[\phi(N(p(p(z)), p(p(z)), p(z), \frac{t}{h})$ $+k_2(\phi(N(p(p(z)), p(p(z)), p(p(z)), \frac{t}{h})))$ $+\phi(N(p(z), p(z), q(z), \frac{t}{b})))]$ $=k_1\phi(N(p(p(z)), p(p(z)), p(z), \frac{t}{h}))$ $\Rightarrow (1-k_1)\phi(N(p(p(z)), p(p(z)), p(z), \frac{t}{h}) = 0$ $\Rightarrow \phi(N(p(p(z)), p(p(z)), p(z), \frac{t}{h}) = 0$ $\Rightarrow p(p(z)) = p(z) = v$ Similarly, q(q(z)) = q(z) = v. Hence v is a common fixed point of p and q. If v_1 is another common point of p and q, then $\phi(N(p(v), p(v), p(v_1), \frac{t}{h})) \le k_1[\phi(N(q(v), q(v), q(v_1), \frac{t}{h})]$ $+k_2(\phi(N(p(v), p(v)), q(v), \frac{t}{h}))$ $+\phi(N(p(v_1), p(v_1), q(v_1), \frac{t}{b})))]$

$$\phi(N(v, v, v_1, \frac{t}{b})) \le k_1[\phi(N(v, v, v_1, \frac{t}{b}) + k_2(\phi(N(v, v, v, \frac{t}{b})) + \phi(N(v_1, v_1, v_1, \frac{t}{b})))]$$

 $\Rightarrow (1-k_1)\phi(N(v,v,v_1,\frac{t}{b})) = 0$

 $\Rightarrow v = v_1$

Hence p and q have a unique common fixed point in X.

REFERENCES:

- Bakthin, I.A. (1989). The contraction principle in quasimetric spaces, Funct. Anal. 30, 26-37
- 2) Banach, S. (1922) Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integrals. Fundam. Math. 3, 133-181.
- 3) Czerwik, S.(1993). Contraction mappings in *b*-metric spaces. Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostav.1(1), 5-11
- 4) Dhage, B.C. (1992). Generalised metric spaces and mappings with fixed point, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 84(4), pp. 329–336.
- 5) Gahlers, S. (1963). 2-metrische Raume and ihretopologische structure, Math. Nachr, 26, 115-148.
- 6) Gahlers, S. (1966). Zurgeomrtric 2-metrische Raume, Revue Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., 11 665-667.
- 7) George, A. and Veeramani, P. (1994). On some results in fuzzy metric spaces. Fuzzy Sets Syst. 64, 395-399.
- 8) Goswami, N. and Patir, B. (2019). Fixed point theorems for asymptotically regular mappings in fuzzy metric Spaces, Korean J Math 27, No.4, pp861-877.
- 9) Hassanzadeh, Z., Sedghi, S.(2018). Relation between *b*-metric and fuzzy metric spaces. Math. Morav. 22(1), 55-63.
- 10) Kramosil, O. and Michalek, J. (1975). Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces. Kybernetika 11, 336-344.
- 11) Kumar, S. (2008). Common fixed point theorem in fuzzy 2-metric spaces, Uni.Din. Bacau. Studii Si CercetiriSciintice, Serial: Mathematical, 18, 111-116.
- 12) Malviya, N. (2015). The N-fuzzy metric space and mappings with application DOI:1515/fascmath -0019.
- 13) Mustafa, Z. and Sims, B. (2003). Some remarks concerning D-metric space, International Conference on Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Valencia, Spain, 189-198.
- 14) Mustafa, Z. and Sims, B. (2006). A new approach to generalized metric spaces. J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 7(2), 289-297.
- 15) Rao, K.P.R., Altun, I. and Bindu S.H.(2011). Common coupled fixed point theorems in generalized fuzzy metric spaces, Advances in Fuzzy Systems, Article ID 986748, 6 pages.
- 16) Sedghi, S., Shobe, N. and Zhou, H. (2007). A Common fixed point theorem in D*-fuzzy metric space, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Article ID 27906, 13 pages.
- 17) Sedghi, S. and Shobe, N. (2007). A Common fixed point theorem in two M-fuzzy metric space, Commun, Koreaan. Math. Soc., 22(4), 513-526.
- 18) Sedghi, S. and Shobe, N. (2012). A generalization of fixed point theorems in S-metric spaces, Mat. Vesnik, 64(3), 258-266.

- 19) Sedghi, S. and Shobe, N. (2012). Common fixed point theorem in b-fuzzy mtric space. Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl. 17(3), 349-359.
- 20) Sedghi, S. and Shobe, N. (2014). Common fixed point theorem for R-weakly commuting maps in *b*-fuzzy mtric space. Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl. 19(2), 285-295.
- 21) Sharma, S. (2002). On fuzzy metric space, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 26, 133-145.
- 22) Singh, B., Chauhan, M.S. (1997). Generalized fuzzy metric spaces and fixed point theorems, Bull, Caal. Math. Soc., 89, 457-460.
- 23) Sun, G. and Yang, K. (2010). Generalized fuzzy metric spaces with properties. Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology, 2(7), 673-678.
- 24) Zadeh, L.A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. Inf. Control 8, 338-353.